2/1/2007 Response to Office Action U.S.S.N. 10/807,944

Page 2

REMARKS

The present invention relates to an improved chemical-mechanical polishing (CMP) slurry composition. Claims 1-16 are currently pending.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under U.S.C. 35 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Small et al. (US 2003/0162398) in view of Sethuraman et al. (US 5,972,124). The Office Action of June 26 stated that Small et al. teaches an aqueous composition for CMP and a broad selection of abrasives including alumina, fumed alumina, and titania. The Office Action further stated that Small et al. teaches metal abrasive particles, which are catalysts, use of metal ions as catalysts, and a slurry comprising water having a pH of about 2-11. The Office Action pointed out that Small et al. fails to use the units of mmol/kg of ions as defined in applicant's claims 1, 2, 3, and 10. The Office Action asserted that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to convert weight percentage into molarity, and that the conversion of the amounts disclosed by Small et al. would overlap the claimed concentrations. The present Office communication has cited an article by Ahmadi et al. to conclude that pieces of the metal coating would be liberated into the slurry and solubilize in the liquid, and form metal ions.

Applicants respectfully disagree. Small et al. teaches a composition for chemical mechanical polishing comprising abrasives having a surface at least partially coated by a metal catalyst. Small et al. teaches that the catalyst is absorbed onto at least a portion of the surface of the abrasive particle (see for example, paragraphs [0028] and [0044]). Small et al. offer no quantitative parameter for the metal catalyst. The only quantitative parameter given by Small et al. is that the catalyst at least partially coats an abrasive and that the abrasive plus catalyst is present at about 0.5 wt %. No actual amount of the catalyst is taught, only the weight percent of the abrasive particle plus the absorbed catalyst. Small et al. also teach that the catalyst may coat from about 5 to about 100 percent of the surface of the abrasive particle (see paragraph [0028]), and that the abrasive particle is "more or less spherical and has an effective diameter of about 30 to about 170 nanometers," but gives no guidance to the surface area of the particle or the thickness of the coating. Applicants respectfully disagree with the assertion of the Office Action that Small et al. would overlap applicants' claimed concentration upon a unit conversion from wt%, because Small et al. does not teach the wt% of the metal catalyst.

Cabot Microelectronics Corporation 870 North Commons Drive Aurora, IL, 60504 Tel. (630) 375-5465

2010

2/1/2007 Response to Office Action U.S.S.N. 10/807,944

Page 3

The Office Action cites an article by Ahmadi et al. as evidence that the metal catalyst coating of Small et al. would necessarily break off of the abrasive particle and dissolve in the aqueous slurry, thereby creating the metal ions of the present invention. The Office Action asserts that it would be obvious to one of skill in the art at the time of the invention, that surface particles from the abrasive would be liberated into the slurry and solubilize in the liquid, comprising water, to form the presence of metal ions. However, the Ahmadi et al. reference apparently teaches that in abrasive and adhesive wear "small patches of material fragments will be transferred from the softer material to the surface of the harder material as shown schematically in Figure 19." Assuming arguendo that the metal catalyst coating of Small et al. was to fracture from the abrasive particle, it would adhere to the surface of the substrate and not be part of the liquid fraction. The Small et al. reference combined with Ahmadi et al. provide no teaching or suggestion of calcium, strontium or barium ions in a liquid carrier.

IP DEPT

In conclusion, Small et al., either alone or when combined with the other cited references, fails to teach both (a) the claimed metal ions and (b) an ion concentration of about 0.5 to about 50 mmol/kg.

The applicants believe that the application is in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned agent.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas Omholt Reg. No. 37,052

(630) 375-2033

Cabot Microelectronics Corporation 870 North Commons Drive Aurora, IL, 60504 Tel. (630) 375-5465